

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/049,174 02/08/2002		Per Nordvall	11709.51USWO	7954		
23552	7590 06/14/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHAN		JLD PC	PRONE, JASON D			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3724		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					· ~			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		10/049,17	<b>'4</b>	NORDVALL, PER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Jason Pro		3724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preceived by within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. a reply within the statueriod will apply and wistatute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be ti ntory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 April 2005.			•			
,	•	This action is n	on-final.					
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-12</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the $\infty$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for   All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur  2. Certified copies of the priority docur  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Staç	je			
Attachmen	• •		]	•				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sl er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/23/05</u> .			Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jonsson.

Jonsson discloses the same invention including a sheet metal forming tool (3) comprising a first part (4) for performing a reciprocating movement capable of being substantially perpendicular to the sheet metal (bending motion shown in Figs. 2-3), produce by a means of a drive arrangement (11), in relation to a second part fixed to a stand (2), a bearing arranged between the parts (6), the first part has elements for forming a held metal sheet (14 and 15) during an advancing part of the reciprocating motion (Fig. 3), the bearing comprises at least one wheel (6) on a shaft (7) arranged on one of the parts (4) and a running surface for the wheel on the remaining part (2), and the surface faces the wheel and defines the reciprocating movement of the first part (2). Jonsson further discloses there are at least two wheels on the shaft arranged at a distance from one another (6), each wheel is substantially enclosed by a housing (8), each wheel has a partially protruding portion that protrudes through an opening facing the running surface (Figs. 1 and 2), the opening lies substantially close up to each wheel (Fig. 2), the shaft is fixed to the first part (Fig. 3), the wheels are rotatably

Art Unit: 3724

mounted on the shaft (6), the distance between the parts is less than the thickness of the sheet (Fig. 2), that the radial distance between the peripheral surface of each wheel and its pivot bearing is greater than the distance between the parts (Fig. 1), and each wheel protrudes more than 0.3mm from the housing (Fig. 3).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonsson in view of Kobayashi et al. Jonsson discloses the invention but fails to disclose a sealing arrangement is designed to substantially seal a space between the first and second parts and the ability to substantially enclose the partially protruding portion. Kobayashi et al. teaches a sealing arrangement (6 and 7) to seal a space between a first and second part (3 and 4) and would have the ability to enclose anything within the sealed space (Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Jonsson with a sealing arrangement, as taught by Kobayashi et al., to prevent any unwanted materials from entering a certain area.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In Jonsson, the bending motion by (4) is also a reciprocating motion. Claim 1 does not disclose how the wheel and the surface facing the wheel define the reciprocating motion. The motion of the wheel making contact with the surface, as shown in Figure 2, and the wheel not in contact with the surface, as shown in Figure 3, defines a reciprocating motion.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3724

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 571-272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP

June 10, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700